1 2 3 4 5 6		E LLP . (also erroneously sued as U.S. Bank Home
7 8	Mortgage)	ES DISTRICT COURT
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CHRISTINA VERHOEK, an individual,) Case No. 14-cv-04821-EMC
12	Plaintiff,	() [Assigned to the Honorable Edward M.) Chen
13	VS.	REQUEST AND STIPULATION TO
14	U.S. BANK NATIONAL	CONTINUE BRIEFING DATES ON DEFENDANT US BANK N.A.'S
15	ASSOCIATION, a business entity of unknown form; U.S. BANK HOME) MOTION TO DISMISS TO) PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED
16	MORTGAGE, a business entity of unknown form; and DOES 1-50,	COMPLAINT AND TO CONTINUE THE CASE MANAGEMENT
17	Defendants.	CONFERENCE
18	Detendants.	
19		(filed: September 30, 2014)
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF **RECORD:**

Defendant US Bank N.A. (also erroneously sued as U.S. Bank Home Mortgage) ("US Bank"), and Plaintiff Christina Verhoek ("Verhoek") have discussed settlement of this case and believe that they have a proposed settlement, but additional actions need to be completed before the terms can be finalized. For instance, US Bank has discovered that there is a lien placed on the property by the Homeowners' Association for unpaid fees. This lien will need to be released before the settlement can be finalized. The lien was over \$26,000, which will require further calculations for the proposed settlement and has required further time to determine all of the terms of the proposed settlement. The settlement will also need to be reduced to writing and the Parties will need to review the settlement documents. [Haist Decl. ¶3.]

Verhoek filed a First Amended Complaint on March 5, 2015. After obtaining an extension, US Bank filed a motion to dismiss the First Amended Complaint on April 27, 2015. The motion to dismiss hearing is set for July 2, 2015. The Parties believe that extending the time for filing briefs on the motion to be closer to the hearing date and continuing the case management conference will allow them to finalize the settlement before any further motion work or use of the Court's time due to the likely settlement of the case.

With the Court's permission and order, US Bank and Verhoek hereby stipulate to extend the time for the parties to file further briefing on the April 27, 2015 filed motion to dismiss. The parties propose and agree that Verhoek's opposition shall be due 21 days before the July 2, 2015 hearing date (i.e., June 11, 2015), and US Bank's reply shall be due 14 days before the July 2, 2015 hearing (i.e., June 18, 2015).

Case 3:14-cv-04821-EMC Document 41 Filed 05/04/15 Page 3 of 3

PALMER, LOMBARDI & DONOHUE LLP 515 South Flower Street, Suite 2100 Los Angeles, California 90071

1	The Court has also set a case management conference for May 7, 2015. The	
2	Parties request that the Court also continue that hearing to approximately 30 days	
3	after the July 2, 2015 hearing, such as July 30, 2015 at 10:30 a.m. or as the Court	
4	otherwise orders.	
5		
6	DATED: April 28, 2015	
7	By /s/ Frederick A. Haist F SCOTT PALMER	
8	FREDERICK A. HAIST PALMER, LOMBARDI & DONOHUE LLP Attorneys for US Bank N.A.	
10	DATED: April 28, 2015	
11		
12	By/s/ Nathan L. Scheg NATHAN L. SCHEG	
13	IRONHORSE LAW GROUP, PC Attorneys for Christina Verhoek	
14		
15	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. CMC reset for 8/6/15	
16	at 9:30 a.m. A joint cmc statement shall be filed	
17		
	May 4 by 7/30/15.	
18	DATED:, 2015,	
18 19	DATED:, 2015, United States District Court Judge	
	DATED:, 2015, 2015	
19	DATED:, 2015, 2015	
19 20	DATED:, 2015, 2015	
19 20 21	DATED:	
19 20 21 22 23 24	DATED:	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	DATED:, 2015, 2015	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	DATED:	
19 20 21 22 23 24	DATED:	